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Saint Augustine’s classic work *The City of God* has endured sixteen centuries of study and scrutiny, enriching a great variety of readers across many eras and different cultures. Any intent to abridge such a text might seem the height of hubris. However, the hope is that a shorter, more manageable edition will invite the reader to subsequent engagement with the full text.

The last such edition appeared in 1958, abridged by Vernon Bourke. It included four different translators. This present edition depends entirely upon William Babcock’s 2012 superb translation. His excellent introduction and the summaries preceding each of Augustine’s twenty-two books are an important part of his scholarly bequest. The introduction and summaries are kept in their entirety.

Two criteria were used to reduce the almost one thousand pages of Augustine’s text to around six hundred. Long sections comprising several chapters have been omitted when they constitute an excursus or digression, a popular rhetorical device of Latin orators. These long omissions are noted in the text by brief, bracketed summaries of their contents.

Shorter sections of one or several paragraphs within or across chapters have also been omitted. These are passages in which Augustine elaborates, illustrates, or explores logical variations of a point already made — fine-tunings of the rhetoric advancing his argument. These omissions are noted by ellipses in the text. An unfortunate casualty of both the longer and shorter omissions is the loss of Boniface Ramsey’s excellent footnotes for those sections.

This abridged study edition provides several open, thought-provoking questions for each of the twenty-two books. These questions encourage the reader to probe Augustine’s text for ideas that continue to inform religious and moral critique of political power, and for themes that remain fundamental to peace and social justice within and across nations and cultures today.

“That love and do what you will — *dilige et quod vis fac,*” Augustine exhorts in his *Commentary on the First Epistle of John* VII.8. That advice steadied my hand as I excised almost half of Augustine’s text. If this abridged classroom resource edition helps readers — especially new readers — understand the “two loves that made two cities,” then it will have been worth the many dilemmas it entailed.

This effort is dedicated to and inspired by the Austin Scholars of Merrimack College.

Joseph T. Kelley
Merrimack College
August 28, 2018
INTRODUCTION

THE TEXT

"Two loves," Augustine writes, "have made two cities. Love of self, even to the point of contempt for God, made the earthly city; and love of God, even to the point of contempt for self, made the heavenly city." (XIV, 28) For all its vast scale and intricate complexity, then, The City of God is a story of love. It is, however, a love story played out in a cosmic setting, across an immense historical range, and within complicated patterns of social order. It is important to note at the outset that the two cities that Augustine has in mind cannot be equated straightforwardly with any political units or social entities. While it is true enough that he does at times identify the heavenly city, the city of God, with the Christian Church and the earthly city, the city of the devil, with the Babylonian or the Roman empire, he insists that the Church inevitably contains some members of the earthly city and that the great empires contain some members of the heavenly city who are not yet known to the Church. The two cities will only be separated out and appear in unmixed form at and after the final judgment when each attains its ultimate destiny. In the meantime, in the span of human history, they are not and cannot be sociologically or politically defined. They are formed, rather, by the deepest orientations of the human heart, by its desires and its loves; and, for Augustine, it is never possible in this life to read the innermost direction of the heart. That is one of the most heartrending features of life in this world. There is always a veil of darkness that finally separates one person from another and makes it impossible to penetrate into the inner regions of another person's self. Augustine’s tale of two loves, therefore, does not reduce or eliminate the ambivalence and ambiguity of human history and society. It does not allow us to cut through the uncertainties of human interrelationships and declare with complete assurance that we have found the true, the just, and the good society or, in contrast, the false, the unjust, and the evil society in any specific social or political grouping. Rather, it creates a context — an immensely complex context — within which we can interpret the modes of human behavior and the forms of human society without ever being able to assure ourselves that any one group is all right or all wrong. It is not a context that prevents or prohibits moral judgment. In fact, it might well be called a guide to moral judgment and a charting of the way of virtue. But it does undercut all self-righteousness in moral judgment. Within this context, it is clear that we cannot discern the ways of God (except where they are revealed in Scripture, which rests on God’s authority, not ours), cannot plumb the depths of the human heart, and can find no morally pure community on earth. God alone, then, can see who does and who does not finally belong to each city, and God alone can achieve the separation of the one from the other.
The immediate occasion for the writing of *The City of God* was the sack of the city of Rome by Alaric and his Gothic army in August of 410. The Goths occupied and pillaged the city for three days and left considerable destruction in their wake when they withdrew. But the political import of the city’s fall was probably not as significant as its psychological impact. After all, the capital of the Roman empire had been transferred from Rome to Constantinople almost a century earlier, and the seat of imperial government in Italy had long since been removed from Rome to Milan and then to Ravenna on the Adriatic coast. The symbolic import of the event, however, was immense. The news rippled out across the empire, like an earthquake’s aftershocks, calling into question an ideology of Rome that was shared, in one version or another, by Christians and pagans alike. Far away in Palestine, Jerome would write, “When the brightest light of the world was extinguished, when the very head of the Roman empire was severed, the entire world perished in a single city.” According to Virgil’s *Aeneid*, written late in the first century B.C., Jupiter had set no spatial or temporal limits on the Romans’ power and had given them “empire without end.” Jupiter’s promise was not erased when Christianity became the empire’s dominant religion under Constantine or even when, in the late fourth century, increasingly stringent imperial edicts were issued against the rites and practices of Greek and Roman traditional religion. In some ways, it was even reinforced, since Rome seemed now to have acquired the backing of the Christians’ all-powerful God, the creator and ruler of all. These “Christian times,” it seemed, should be understood as the realization of God’s providential direction of history to its culmination: Roman imperial rule as the vehicle for the triumph of true religion. In the light of Rome’s fall to the Goths, however, it seemed that Rome had suddenly and disastrously lost its invulnerability and that, against all expectation, Jupiter’s promise had failed.

To the empire’s remaining pagan population — especially in the Latin West where the traditional accounts of Rome’s founding and its rise to greatness were still strong — the reason for the failure was all too obvious. Rome had abandoned

---

3. *Aeneid* 1,278.
4. For discussion of the way in which the “Christian times” of the late fourth century were celebrated by some Christians, and for a treatment of Augustine’s own brief dalliance with and ultimate rejection of this triumphalist view, see R. A. Markus, *Saeculum: History and Society in the Theology of St Augustine* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1970) 22-44.
5. For discussion of the lingering strength of paganism in the Latin West, see Gerard O’ Daly, *Augustine’s City of God: A Reader’s Guide* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999) 1-26 (on
her gods and banished the sacrifices that earned their favor; and, as a consequence, her gods had abandoned her and withdrawn their protection. The city had fallen to the onslaught of the Goths. And in the event, the new Christian God, for whom they had traded their traditional religion, had provided no defense at all. Not only had he proved powerless against the Gothic army, he had not even been able to defend his own devotees. Like their pagan counterparts, Christians too had been taken captive, tortured, raped, looted, and killed. Their prayers for protection had fallen on deaf ears, or had been no more than pleas to a God without power.

In North Africa, as his sermons show, Augustine was already responding to such complaints in 410, in the immediate aftermath of the calamity at Rome. When he began writing *The City of God* in 412, then, he already had an arsenal of answers to the critics of Christianity on which he could draw; and, in fact, the first book of the work makes many of the same points that he had made in his preaching. He starts by reminding the pagan critics that they would never have survived to lodge their complaints if it had not been true that the Goths themselves, although Arian heretics, were Christians and, against all the traditional rules and customs of war, had treated Rome’s churches as places of sanctuary where all — pagans quite as much as Christians — could gather in safety. In this regard, he insists, the treatment of Rome stood in stark contrast to pagan practice. The Romans themselves, in all their wars of conquest, had never treated the temples of the gods as places of sanctuary or allowed those who fled to them to gain the security of a safe harbor against the threats of death or captivity. Nor had their own gods ever offered such protection. Rome’s own gods were, in fact, “conquered gods,” who had been defeated when the Greeks had taken Troy. They survived only because Aeneas had carried them off on the voyage that would ultimately lead to the founding of Rome. They were gods who, far from defending their defenders, had been defended by them; and when their defenders fell to their enemies, the gods fell with them, escaping final defeat only because a few survivors were able to escape from fallen Troy and take their gods with them.

From the outset, however, Augustine had a larger plan in mind for *The City of God*. His aim was not to chronicle a competition between gods on the dubious scale of success or failure at the level of historical events. It was rather to confront — and to counter — the entire religious, cultural and political tradition of Rome on an entirely different set of issues, the issues clustering around the question of religion...
and the ultimate attainment of human happiness. Even in the first book of the work, then, he turns the question of Rome's fall into a confrontation between alternate ways of assessing human suffering and relating it to the role of the divine. The real issue, he argues, is not a supposed failure of divine protection but rather the enigmatic workings of divine providence. Why is it that God extended his mercy to some of the evil as well as to some of the good? Why is it that God imposed his severity on the good as well as on some of the evil? The answer, it turns out, has to do with the ways in which divine providence makes use of historical events to shape human character. It sometimes spares the evil as well as the good in order to provide opportunities for repentance; and it sometimes afflicts the good as well as the evil in order either to punish them for their moral failures or to test them in their perseverance in the good. Thus the sufferings of Christians at the hands of Alaric's Goths can be understood either as punishment for their failings, even if their failing was no more than the relatively minor fault of being afraid to rebuke and correct the powerful for their misdeeds, or as a way of putting them to the test in order to confirm them in their goodness. In neither case, however, does their affliction affect what genuinely counts as their true good. It does not separate them from God, nor does it rob them of the promise of ultimate happiness with him.

Thus, any Christians who lost their wealth in the pillaging of the city lost only what is transient and vulnerable, not what is enduring and invulnerable to loss. Any Christians who loved their riches as if they were of supreme value discovered, through the training of experience, how badly they had misplaced their devotion. Any Christians who were tortured by the enemy to force them to hand over their earthly goods neither could nor did hand over the good by which they themselves were good; and, if they preferred to be tortured rather than to hand over their possessions, they were not actually good and should have learned to love Christ, who offers eternal felicity, rather than gold and silver. Again, Christians who were taken into captivity by the Goths did not fall outside the realm of divine consolation, as indicated by the captives recorded in Scripture who were sustained by God. And the Romans themselves venerate the example of Marcus Regulus, a general who was defeated and taken captive by the Carthaginians. Regulus was sent back to Rome to negotiate an exchange of prisoners, having first sworn by his gods that he would return if he failed in his mission. In Rome, he argued against the exchange and, keeping strictly to his oath, returned to Carthage where he was put to a horrible death by torture. The episode shows, Augustine claims, that the Romans themselves honored one taken captive; and, in addition, it proves that Regulus's own gods, the very gods to whom he remained loyal even to the point of death, could neither protect him from his fate nor give him happiness in this life. If the Romans felt no hesitation about honoring gods who failed to protect Regulus, what grounds did they have for dishonoring the God who apparently failed to protect Christians but actually preserved them in the ways that really count?

Finally, in this catalogue of Christian sufferings in the sack of Rome, Augustine considers the case of Christian women who were raped by the Goths. What is